translation that was given by the interpreters.

1.3

2.2.

That instruction also applies to the audio recordings that were entered into evidence. You have received transcripts of foreign language calls that are translations of these recordings. These transcripts are evidence, not just guides; and I instruct you to consider them just like any other evidence in the case.

Now you've also heard evidence in the form of audio recordings of conversations that were obtained without knowledge of some or all of the parties to those conversations. You've also seen and heard evidence obtained through court-authorized search and wiretap warrants. This evidence was obtained lawfully, and the Government has the right to use it in this case. Law enforcement techniques are not your concern. I deal with those before the trial ever starts. The evidence that you've heard is the evidence you're supposed to hear.

Please remember that the Government is not on trial, and I instruct you to disregard any arguments that may have been made to the contrary. There is no evidence that the Government operated under any kind of improper motive. You must base your decision only on the evidence or lack of evidence that has been presented at trial in determining whether the Government has met its burden of proving defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.